**Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) Report & Cost benefit Analysis**

Comparative Analysis of 3M Petrifilm™ VRBA vs. Conventional MPN Method  
*Compliant with ISO 14040:2006 Standards*

**Life cycle Assessment: 3M Petrifilm vs. MPN Method**

**1. Goal and Scope**

**1.1 Purpose**

Compare environmental impacts of:

* 3M Petrifilm VRBA (rapid, single-use films)
* Conventional MPN (IS 1622:1981) (glassware-based)

**1.2 System Boundaries**

* Gate-to-gate: Materials, energy, water, waste
* Functional Unit: 100 drinking water samples (100 mL each)

1.3 Impact Categories

1. Energy use (kWh)
2. Water use (L)
3. CO₂ emissions (kg CO₂eq)
4. Waste generation (kg)

**2. Life Cycle Inventory (LCI)**

**2.1 Energy Demand**

| **Equipment** | **MPN Method (kWh/100 tests)** | **Petrifilm (kWh/100 tests)** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Laminar Flow Hood | 10 | 0 |
| Autoclave | 30 | 0 |
| Hot Air Oven | 16 | 0 |
| Incubator | 36 | 7.2 |
| Total | 92 | 7.2 |

Savings: 84.8 kWh (92%) reduction with Petrifilm.

**2.2 Water Consumption**

* MPN: 1,000L (10L/test for sterilization/media prep)
* Petrifilm: 0L  
  Savings: 100% reduction.

**2.3 Waste Generation**

| Waste Type | MPN Method (kg/100 tests) | Petrifilm (kg/100 tests) |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Glassware | 1 | 0 |
| Chemical Waste | 3 | 0 |
| Plastic Waste | 0 | 0.5 |

**3. Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA)**

**3.1 Key Comparisons**

| **Impact Category** | **MPN Method** | **Petrifilm** | **Reduction** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Energy Use | 92 kWh | 7.2 kWh | 92% |
| Water Use | 1,000L | 0L | 100% |
| CO₂ Emissions\* | 73.6 kg CO₂eq | 5.8 kg CO₂eq | 92% |
| Hazardous Waste | 3 kg chemicals | 0 kg | 100% |

\*India’s grid emission factor: 0.8 kg CO₂eq/kWh

**3.2 Trade-offs**

* Petrifilm Advantage: Eliminates 99% lab water use and 92% energy.
* MPN Advantage: Generates 1 kg glassware waste (vs. 0.5 kg plastic from Petrifilm).

**4. Interpretation & Recommendations**

**4.1 Conclusions**

* Petrifilm reduces:  
  ✅ Energy by 84.8 kWh/100 tests  
  ✅ Water by 1,000L/100 tests  
  ✅ CO₂ by 67.8 kg/100 tests

**Cost-Benefit Analysis: 3M Petrifilm vs. MPN Method** **(Per 100 Tests)**

| **Cost Component** | **MPN Method (₹)** | **3M Petrifilm (₹)** | **Difference (₹)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Equipment Usage |  |  |  |
| - Laminar Flow Hood | 500 | 0 | +500 |
| - Autoclave | 2,000 | 0 | +2,000 |
| Consumables |  |  |  |
| - Agar Media/Chemicals | 2,000 | 0 | +2,000 |
| - Petrifilm Sheets | 0 | 1,500 | -1,500 |
| Labor (@₹500/hr) | 5,000 | 1,000 | +4,000 |
| Waste Disposal |  |  |  |
| - Glass/Chemical Waste | 200 | 0 | +200 |
| - Plastic Film Waste | 0 | 50 | -50 |
| Total Costs | 9,700 | 2,550 | +7,150 |

**Savings Breakdown (Per 100 Tests)**

1. Direct Cost Savings: ₹7,150
2. Energy Savings:
   * MPN: 92 kWh × ₹9.8 = ₹902
   * Petrifilm: 7.2 kWh × ₹9.8 = ₹71
   * Net Savings: ₹831
3. Water Savings: 1,000L × ₹2 = ₹2,000

Total Savings per 100 Tests

| Category | Savings (₹) |
| --- | --- |
| Direct Costs | 7,150 |
| Energy | 831 |
| Water | 2,000 |
| Total | 9,981 |

**Key Findings:**

1. Cost Reduction: Petrifilm is 73.7% cheaper per 100 tests (₹9,700 → ₹2,550)
2. Resource Efficiency:
   * Saves 84.8 kWh energy (92% reduction)
   * Eliminates 1,000L water use
3. Operational Benefits:
   * 80% faster results (24h vs 120h)
   * Requires 80% less skilled labor

**Recommendation:**

For every 100 water tests:

* Adopt Petrifilm to save ~₹10,000
* Allocate ₹50 for plastic film disposal
* Reinvest savings in quality control upgrades

This analysis confirms Petrifilm's superiority even at the 100-test functional unit level.

**Consolidated Conclusions:**

1. **Environmental Benefits (Per 100 Tests):**
   * 84.8 kWh energy saved (92% reduction)
   * 1,000L water saved (100% elimination)
   * 67.8 kg CO₂ emissions avoided
2. **Economic Advantages:**
   * ₹10,000 cost savings (73.7% reduction vs. MPN)
   * ₹50 allocated for plastic waste disposal (minimal trade-off)
3. **Operational Efficiency:**
   * 80% faster results (24h vs. 120h)
   * 80% less skilled labor required
4. **Strategic Recommendations:**
   * Adopt Petrifilm for routine testing.
   * Reinvest savings in quality control upgrades.

**Final Verdict:**  
The 3M Petrifilm method demonstrates **superior sustainability, cost-effectiveness, and efficiency** for bacterial water testing at scale.
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